Nevada Petroleum Fund Bid Excess Justification/Concurrence

| | | Bid Excess | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| TASK No. | DESCRIPTION OF TASK / WORK ACTIVITY | Original Bid Amount | Amount Invoiced | Excess Amount |
| | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Justification for costs in excess of bid amount: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Storage Tank Owner or Operator Information Certified Environmental Ma | | ental Manager | | |
| Owner Name/Company | | Company | | |
| Facility Name | | Printed Name | | |
| Facility ID # | | CEM Number | | |
| Phone Number | | Signature | | |
| Date | | Phone Number | | |
| | | | | |
| If the above bid exceedance(s) occurred as a result of significant changes to the original scope of work, written case officer concurrence must be submitted with this form or the case officer must indicate his/her approval (below) for the above exceedances due to a change in scope of work. Refer to the CEM Cost Guidelines, Section 2.3.3 (September 2017 version). | | | | |
| REGULA | TORY AGENCY USE ONLY | | | |
| I DO/ DO NOT concur with the bid exceedance(s) addressed by the CEM above | | | | |
| Regulatory Case Officer | | | | |